Franchise Tax Board	ALYSIS OF ORIGIN	AL BILL	
Author: _Vasconcellos	Analyst: Kris	ina North	Bill Number: SB 1953
Related Bills: See Legislative Hi	istory Telephone: 84	5-6978	Introduced Date: 02/19/98
	Attorney: Doug	Bramhall	Sponsor:
SUBJECT: Repeal Campaign Con Fund			State Candidate Election
SUMMARY			
Under the Administration of E			
This bill also would repeal to this provision does not impact addressed in this analysis.	_		
EFFECTIVE DATE			
This bill would become effect beginning in 2000, for return			
LEGISLATIVE HISTORY			
AB 2871 (1995/96), SB 588 (19	994/Vetoed)		
BACKGROUND			
The California Election Campa enacted in 1982 and was first filed in 1983. The fund suns appeared on the 1996 tax retu contributions on 1996 tax ret	t available for cont set and was repealed urn. This fund rece	ributions o d on January	n the 1982 tax return 1, 1997, and last
SPECIFIC FINDINGS			
Current federal law provides individuals filing jointly) of Presidential Election Campaig taxpayer's tax liability or management of the control of the contr	of a taxpayer's actu gn Fund. Designatio	al tax liab	ility to the
DEPARTMENTS THAT MAY BE AFFECTE	ED:		
STATE MANDA	TE	GOVERNOR'S	APPOINTMENT
Board Position:	Agency Secretary Position:	GOVE	RNOR'S OFFICE USE
S O SA OUA N NP NA NAR PENDING	S O SA OUA N NP NA NAR DEFER TO		Position Approved Position Disapproved Position Noted
Department Director Date	Agency Secretary	Date By:	Date

Gerald H. Goldberg

3/20/98

Senate Bill 1953 (Vasconcellos) Introduced February 19, 1998 Page 2

Current state law does not allow taxpayers to direct actual tax liability to any fund. Current state law allows taxpayers to make contributions of their own funds, not tax liability, to 10 voluntary contribution funds on the 1997 tax return filed in 1998.

This bill would establish the election fund, to be administered by the Controller, allowing individual taxpayers to designate \$5 (\$5 each for married individuals filing jointly) of tax liability to the fund. The election fund would provide public funding to certain political party nominees who are candidates for the Assembly, Senate, Board of Equalization and statewide offices in a general election, as specified.

This bill would require the FTB to revise all original personal income tax forms (which include the 540, 540A, 540EZ, Telefile, 541, 540NR and scannable forms) for the 2000 tax year and thereafter to include the election fund designation. Specifically, this bill would require that, on the first page, immediately preceding the filing status, language be included to allow each individual taxpayer to designate \$5 of tax liability to the election fund. This bill also would require that tax returns specify that the designation would not increase a taxpayer's tax liability or reduce a refund.

This bill would require the FTB, on or before July 1 of each year, to certify to the Controller the amount which had been designated by April 15 of that year. The certified amount would be appropriated by the General Fund to the election fund. Amounts designated on returns filed after April 15 would be certified the following year.

This bill would compensate the Controller and the FTB from election fund for reasonable administrative expense connected with the fund's operation.

This bill provides the California tax liability is the taxpayer's total income tax liability that taxable year.

Implementation Considerations

The department has identified the following implementation concerns. Department staff is available to work with the author's office to resolve these and any other concerns that may be identified.

- ◆ This bill provides that the California tax liability is the taxpayer's "total" income tax liability for that taxable year. This provision is not defined and implies that there may be a "partial" tax liability. Because "tax liability" is defined in the Revenue and Taxation Code, the author may wish to delete the term "total."
- ♦ This bill specifies that the election fund designation be placed on the first page on all original returns immediately preceding the filing status. That would require three additional lines be added to all individual tax returns and additional instructional text. This requirement, coupled with the return of the renter's credit, could create significant implementation problems. Programming and testing would be required to accommodate the new designation.

- ◆ This bill requires that the FTB annually certify to the Controller on or before July 1 the amount designated by April 15. However, not all of the designations made in a taxable year would be available by July 1. Returns filed by April 15 would not be processed for some weeks, and personal income tax returns may be filed, with an extension, annually until October 15. If the intent is to have the FTB certify the total amount of designations made to this fund in a taxable year, the author may wish to change the certification due date. Otherwise, thecheckoff amounts on returns processed after April 15 would be included in the following year's accounting.
- ◆ Including this designation on all original personal income tax returns would be a significant change for all taxpayers. It has been the department's experience that, when a tax law change impacts a significant number of taxpayers, taxpayer contact with the department increases.

FISCAL IMPACT

Departmental Costs

The department's costs to administer this fund would be reimbursed from moneys collected. However, the impact to tax forms, additional programming required and increased taxpayer contact may create additional significant costs in the first year and moderate to minor costs in succeeding years.

Tax Revenue Estimate

Revenue losses under the Personal Income Tax Law are estimated to be as follows:

Effective 2000 Taxable Year				
(in millions)				
Fiscal Years				
2000/1	2001/2	2002/3		
(\$13)	(\$14)	(\$14)		

This analysis does not consider the possible changes in employment, personal income, or gross state product that could result from this measure.

Tax Revenue Discussion

This estimate is based on federal experience with the Presidential Election Campaign Fund. Pursuant to our latest available data (taxable year 1992), 17% of all taxable federal returns included designations to the Federal Presidential Election Campaign Fund. This percentage has been fairly stable from year to year. It is assumed that the same ratio of California taxpayers would make the State Candidate Election fund designations, i.e., around 850,000 joint filers at \$10 each and 950,000 other filers at \$5 each (year 2000 level).

BOARD POSITION

Pending.